

Exhibit 12

PEPSICO INC
NORTHERN BOTTLING vs PEPSICO

March 22, 2018

1-4

Page 1	Page 3
<p>1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT</p> <p>2 FOR THE DISTRICT OF NORTH DAKOTA</p> <p>3 NORTHWESTERN DIVISION</p> <p>4</p> <p>5 NORTHERN BOTTLING CO., INC.,)</p> <p>6 Plaintiff,)</p> <p>7 vs.) No. 4:150-cv-133</p> <p>8 PEPSICO, INC.,)</p> <p>9 Defendant.)</p> <p>10</p> <p>11 The videotaped 30(b)(6) deposition of</p> <p>12 PEPSICO, INC., by JIM DOYLE, called for</p> <p>13 examination, taken pursuant to the Federal Rules of</p> <p>14 Civil Procedure of the United States District</p> <p>15 Courts pertaining to the taking of depositions,</p> <p>16 taken before KRISTIN C. BRAJKOVICH, a Certified</p> <p>17 Shorthand Reporter, CSR. No. 84-3810, of said</p> <p>18 state, at Suite 2900, Three First National Plaza,</p> <p>19 70 West Madison Street, Chicago, Illinois, on the</p> <p>20 22nd day of March, A.D. 2018, at 9:08 a.m.</p> <p>21</p> <p>22</p> <p>23</p> <p>24</p>	<p>1 PRESENT (Continued):</p> <p>2 RILEY SAFER HOLMES & CANCELA LLP,</p> <p>3 (Three First National Plaza,</p> <p>4 70 West Madison Street,</p> <p>5 Chicago, Illinois 60602,</p> <p>6 1-312-471-8770), by:</p> <p>7 MR. THOMAS B. QUINN,</p> <p>8 tqinn@rshc-law.com,</p> <p>9 MS. SONDR A HEMERYCK,</p> <p>10 shemeryck@rshc-law.com, and</p> <p>11 MS. PATRICIA MATHY,</p> <p>12 pmathy@rshc-law.com,</p> <p>13 appeared on behalf of the Defendant.</p> <p>14</p> <p>15 ALSO PRESENT:</p> <p>16</p> <p>17 MR. CHARLES S. BIENER, PepsiCo, Inc., Senior</p> <p>18 Counsel;</p> <p>19 MS. ANA M. McCARRON, PepsiCo, Inc., Senior</p> <p>20 Legal Specialist;</p> <p>21 MR. MARIO MERCURIO, PepsiCo, Inc., Senior</p> <p>22 Vice President, GM, Franchise Business Unit;</p> <p>23 MR. DARRIN MORRIS, Director, Franchise</p> <p>24 Development - Southeast Region;</p>
Page 2	Page 4
<p>1 PRESENT:</p> <p>2</p> <p>3 RAGAIN & COOK, PC,</p> <p>4 (3936 Avenue B, Suite A-2,</p> <p>5 Billings, Montana 59102,</p> <p>6 1-406-206-4831), by:</p> <p>7 MR. JAMES M. RAGAIN,</p> <p>8 jim@lawmontana.com,</p> <p>9 -and-</p> <p>10 SULLIVAN MILLER LAW,</p> <p>11 (3860 Avenue B, Suite C East,</p> <p>12 Billings, Montana 59102,</p> <p>13 1-406-403-7066), by:</p> <p>14 MS. MICHELLE SULLIVAN,</p> <p>15 michelle.sullivan@sullivanmiller.com,</p> <p>16 appeared via video teleconference on</p> <p>17 behalf of the Plaintiff;</p> <p>18</p> <p>19</p> <p>20</p> <p>21</p> <p>22</p> <p>23</p> <p>24</p>	<p>1 PRESENT (Continued):</p> <p>2</p> <p>3 ALSO PRESENT:</p> <p>4 MS. ELIZABETH VAN HOUTEN, Director, Franchise</p> <p>5 Development, Transshipping Mitigation.</p> <p>6 MR. DAVID LEHMAN, Legal Videographer.</p> <p>7</p> <p>8</p> <p>9</p> <p>10</p> <p>11</p> <p>12</p> <p>13</p> <p>14</p> <p>15</p> <p>16</p> <p>17</p> <p>18</p> <p>19</p> <p>20</p> <p>21</p> <p>22</p> <p>23 REPORTED BY: KRISTIN C. BRAJKOVICH,</p> <p>24 CSR No. 84-3810.</p>

PEPSICO INC
NORTHERN BOTTLING vs PEPSICO

March 22, 2018
5-8

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 5</p> <p>1 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: My name is David Lehman, 2 certified legal video specialist with Esquire 3 Solutions located at 20 North Clark Street, 4 Chicago, Illinois 60602. I'm the videographer on 5 March 22, 2018, for the recording of the deposition 6 of Jim Doyle being taken at 70 West Madison Street, 7 Chicago, Illinois at the time of 9:08 a.m. in the 8 matter of Northern Bottling Company, Inc., versus 9 PepsiCo Inc., Case No. 15 CV 00133. 10 Will counsel please identify themselves 11 for the record beginning with the plaintiff's 12 counsel. 13 MR. RAGAIN: My name is Jim Ragain. I'm in 14 Billings, Montana. I represent the plaintiffs, and 15 with me here today is Michelle Sullivan, my 16 co-counsel. 17 MS. HEMERYCK: Sondra Hemeryck on behalf of 18 Defendant, PepsiCo, Inc. 19 MR. QUINN: Thomas Quinn also on behalf of the 20 PepsiCo, Inc., and our colleague, Patricia Mathy. 21 (WHEREUPON, the witness was duly 22 sworn.) 23 24</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 7</p> <p>1 MS. HEMERYCK: Objection. Just to clarify, 2 there's a time frame limitation on that topic. 3 MR. RAGAIN: Yes. Thank you. 4 BY MR. RAGAIN: 5 Q. January 2012 through December 2016? 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. Okay. So how many contracts are we 8 talking about? 9 A. Over the course of the year, we are 10 talking about one contract per year. 11 Q. So we have '12, '13, '14, '15 -- five of 12 them? 13 A. Yes, that's correct. 14 Q. Okay. And what are the dates of these 15 contracts? 16 A. They would be for the full year 2012, 17 the full year 2013, the full year 2014, full year 18 2015, and full year 2016. 19 Q. So do they run calendar year to calendar 20 year? 21 A. They do, yes. 22 Q. And when do you usually start your 23 negotiations for the following year? 24 A. Typically, the negotiations would begin</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 6</p> <p>1 JIM DOYLE, 2 called as a witness herein, having been first duly 3 sworn, was examined and testified as follows: 4 EXAMINATION 5 BY MR. RAGAIN: 6 Q. Good morning, Mr. Doyle. 7 A. Good morning. 8 Q. Can you hear me okay? 9 A. It's fine, yes. 10 Q. Okay. Could you state your name and 11 your position with PepsiCo for the record, please? 12 A. Sure. My name is Jim Doyle, and I am 13 the senior director of commercial finance for the 14 North American nutrition business. 15 Q. And what is the North American nutrition 16 business? 17 A. It is the business that encompasses the 18 Quaker business unit, the Tropicana business unit, 19 as well as the Naked Juice business unit. 20 Q. Thank you. And you have been proffered 21 by PepsiCo to testify today regarding negotiation 22 of all contracts/agreements entered into between 23 PepsiCo and Core-Mark; is that correct? 24 A. That is correct.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 8</p> <p>1 in the fall time frame of the previous year. 2 Q. And who on the other side do you 3 negotiate with? 4 A. Generally, it would be a buyer or a vice 5 president of marketing from the Core-Mark 6 organization. 7 Q. And is that person typically located in 8 the San Francisco area? 9 A. Yes, they are. 10 Q. For example, this past -- well, for the 11 most recent one, 2016, what was the person's name 12 that you negotiated with? 13 A. I believe it was Jon Bratta. 14 Q. How about the year before that? 15 A. I believe it would have been Jon Bratta 16 as well. There might be multiple -- there might be 17 multiple people from Core-Mark that we are speaking 18 to. 19 Q. How long does the process usually take? 20 A. It can vary from year to year. 21 Q. From what to what? 22 A. I don't know that there's a set time 23 frame per se. In many cases we'll start 24 negotiating in the early part of the fall, reaching</p>

PEPSICO INC
NORTHERN BOTTLING vs PEPSICO

March 22, 2018
9-12

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 9</p> <p>1 an agreement in the late part of the year previous</p> <p>2 to the next contract year. In some cases it</p> <p>3 stretches into the next contract year.</p> <p>4 Q. For the time period that we are talking</p> <p>5 about, is the subject matter of the contracts for</p> <p>6 each year all the same?</p> <p>7 MS. HEMERYCK: Objection, vague and ambiguous.</p> <p>8 BY MR. RAGAIN:</p> <p>9 Q. Go ahead.</p> <p>10 A. I was going to say, could you clarify,</p> <p>11 when you mean "the subject matter is all the same"?</p> <p>12 Q. Yeah. I mean, are we talking about kind</p> <p>13 of a standard contract for something, be it</p> <p>14 distribution of certain products or whatever it is?</p> <p>15 Are we talking about a contract that from year to</p> <p>16 year is just renegotiated for certain terms but we</p> <p>17 are talking about essentially the same contract, or</p> <p>18 are we talking about unique agreements on different</p> <p>19 subject matters? I'm just trying to speed this up.</p> <p>20 A. I believe that the contracts year to</p> <p>21 year would be fairly similar in scope of the QSDI</p> <p>22 product portfolio as well as the terms of the</p> <p>23 agreement between PepsiCo and between Core-Mark and</p> <p>24 the terms of performance required from the</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 11</p> <p>1 Q. Did this contract contain any provisions</p> <p>2 regarding the purchase and sale of CSDs?</p> <p>3 A. It did not. The contract was between</p> <p>4 QSDI and Core-Mark.</p> <p>5 Q. Okay. Did any of the contracts during</p> <p>6 this time period between PepsiCo, Inc., and</p> <p>7 Core-Mark International have anything to do with</p> <p>8 CSDs?</p> <p>9 MS. HEMERYCK: And just a point of</p> <p>10 clarification. Are you talking about contracts</p> <p>11 entered into or just negotiated?</p> <p>12 MR. RAGAIN: Both.</p> <p>13 BY THE WITNESS:</p> <p>14 A. Okay. Yes. We -- in 2015 and 2016 we</p> <p>15 included language that would exclude diverting of</p> <p>16 any PepsiCo product into the contracts for the</p> <p>17 negotiation of the contracts.</p> <p>18 BY MR. RAGAIN:</p> <p>19 Q. What did that language say?</p> <p>20 A. It said -- and I'm trying to recall</p> <p>21 exactly, but it said that the contract explicitly</p> <p>22 precluded diverting of any PepsiCo product either</p> <p>23 inbound or outbound.</p> <p>24 Q. And what does "diverting" mean?</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 10</p> <p>1 distributor as well as, therefore, the engagement</p> <p>2 from PepsiCo into that contract.</p> <p>3 Q. Okay. So starting with the one for the</p> <p>4 year January 2012 through December of 2012, what is</p> <p>5 this contract about?</p> <p>6 A. So the contract would define the terms</p> <p>7 for Core-Mark to purchase QSDI product from</p> <p>8 PepsiCo. Again, that would be across the</p> <p>9 Tropicana, the Naked Juice, and the Quaker</p> <p>10 portfolios as well as Gatorade protein recovery</p> <p>11 shakes. It would then specify rates to be paid to</p> <p>12 Core-Mark by PepsiCo on a per case basis, and it</p> <p>13 would spell out the legal terms and conditions of</p> <p>14 the transaction between PepsiCo and Core-Mark.</p> <p>15 Q. What are QSDI products?</p> <p>16 A. Quaker -- it would be Tropicana</p> <p>17 beverages, so chilled Tropicana orange juice</p> <p>18 products as well as ambient Tropicana juice</p> <p>19 products. It would be Naked Juice smoothie</p> <p>20 products as well as IZZE as well as Quaker food</p> <p>21 products, cereals, hot cereals as well as cold</p> <p>22 cereals, ready to eat, as well as Quaker snack</p> <p>23 products, Quaker snack bars, and then Gatorade</p> <p>24 recovery shakes, as I said.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 12</p> <p>1 A. Diverting would be to the procurement of</p> <p>2 PepsiCo product directly from PepsiCo for</p> <p>3 distribution and sale in a channel not authorized</p> <p>4 by PepsiCo.</p> <p>5 MS. HEMERYCK: Sorry. Could you read that</p> <p>6 back?</p> <p>7 (WHEREUPON, the record was read by</p> <p>8 the reporter.)</p> <p>9 BY MR. RAGAIN:</p> <p>10 Q. Why did you insert that language into</p> <p>11 your contract with Core-Mark for 2015 and 2016?</p> <p>12 A. We inserted the language into the</p> <p>13 contract. We were aware of the discussions ongoing</p> <p>14 with Northern Bottling with regards to</p> <p>15 transshipment into their territory. We were aware</p> <p>16 of the fact that Core-Mark was bringing that</p> <p>17 product into the territory, and as a result, we</p> <p>18 added the wording into the contract.</p> <p>19 Q. What other language in that -- in those</p> <p>20 two contracts related to transshipping or</p> <p>21 diverting?</p> <p>22 A. There would not have been other language</p> <p>23 in the contract related to it.</p> <p>24 Q. Was there any type of penalty or</p>


PEPSICO INC
NORTHERN BOTTLING vs PEPSICO

March 22, 2018
13-16

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 13</p> <p>1 anything put in either of these contracts with 2 respect to diverting or transshipping? 3 A. There was language in the contract. 4 And, again, I'm not a lawyer, so I can't speak to 5 the legalese of it. But there was language in the 6 contract that said not necessarily a penalty if 7 this would happen but something that would say, 8 PepsiCo could take certain actions if diverting of 9 QSDI was or PepsiCo product was found to be in the 10 marketplace. 11 Q. Okay. Are carbonated soft drinks QSDI 12 products? 13 A. They are not, no. 14 Q. So did this language have to do with 15 carbonated soft drinks or QSDI products? 16 A. When the language was added into -- in 17 the latter years that we discussed, it was for any 18 PepsiCo product. 19 Q. And what were the -- per the contract, 20 what were the ramifications if PepsiCo learned that 21 CSDs were being diverted by Core-Mark? 22 A. So I don't think it culled out 23 specifically that if we learned that CSDs were 24 being diverted by Core-Mark, but if we learned that</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 15</p> <p>1 in for that particular situation but then the 2 broader implications across the business. 3 Q. And what would those be? 4 A. Just the -- I think the notion of if 5 this is happening in one territory, it could be 6 happening in many territories, so we wanted to 7 enter the language into the contract for all 8 territories. 9 MR. RAGAIN: Okay. Thank you. That is all I 10 have. 11 MS. HEMERYCK: Thanks, Jim. I have just a few 12 questions to clarify a few things. 13 EXAMINATION 14 BY MS. HEMERYCK: 15 Q. So, Mr. Doyle, I think you identified -- 16 you talked about five years of contracts, 2012, 17 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016; is that right? 18 A. That's correct. 19 Q. Okay. So can you tell us -- tell the 20 jury in which of those years was there an actual 21 signed contract between Core-Mark and PepsiCo? 22 A. There was a signed contract in 2012, 23 2013, and 2014. 24 Q. So was there a signed contract in 2015?</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 14</p> <p>1 any PepsiCo product was being diverted, we could 2 take such actions such as withholding trade 3 payments, stopping to ship product to Core-Mark, 4 and cancelling the contract itself. 5 MR. RAGAIN: Why don't you give me a minute, 6 Tom, or I'm sorry -- 7 MS. HEMERYCK: Sondra. 8 MR. RAGAIN: -- Sondra. Sorry about that. I 9 might be done. 10 MS. HEMERYCK: Okay. Let's go off the record. 11 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are off the record. The 12 time is 9:22 a.m. 13 (WHEREUPON, a recess was had.) 14 MR. RAGAIN: We are back on the record. The 15 time is 9:24 a.m. 16 BY MR. RAGAIN: 17 Q. Mr. Doyle, could you tell me what -- you 18 mentioned the Northern Bottling situation whenever 19 I asked you why this language was put into the 2015 20 and 2016 contracts with Core-Mark. Was that the 21 only reason? 22 A. It was the -- yes, I would say it was 23 the only reason. Well, not the only reason. I 24 mean, it was the compelling reason that we put it</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 16</p> <p>1 A. There was not. 2 Q. Was there a signed contract in 2016? 3 A. There was not. 4 Q. And you also talked about language that 5 PepsiCo wanted to insert in the agreement that 6 would have prohibited diverting of any PepsiCo 7 product, and I think you at least suggested that 8 might have been in the 2015 contract. 9 What year, in fact, did PepsiCo seek to 10 introduce that language into its agreement with 11 Core-Mark? 12 A. We put that language specifically into 13 the 2016 contract. 14 Q. So was it in the 2015 contract? 15 A. It was not. 16 Q. It was not. And when you proposed that 17 language for the 2016 contract with Core-Mark, did 18 Core-Mark accept it? 19 A. They did not. 20 MS. HEMERYCK: No further questions. 21 FURTHER EXAMINATION 22 BY MR. RAGAIN: 23 Q. And just to clarify, my request for 24 someone to testify was for contracts entered into</p>

PEPSICO INC
NORTHERN BOTTLING vs PEPSICO

March 22, 2018
17-20

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 17</p> <p>1 between Core-Mark and PepsiCo, so I apologize for</p> <p>2 the -- not asking you about ones that weren't</p> <p>3 entered into. But now that the topic has been</p> <p>4 broached, what was the reason, to your knowledge,</p> <p>5 why Core-Mark did not enter into the 2016 contract?</p> <p>6 MS. HEMERYCK: Objection, calls for</p> <p>7 speculation.</p> <p>8 BY MR. RAGAIN:</p> <p>9 Q. Go ahead.</p> <p>10 A. So can you clarify exactly?</p> <p>11 Q. Do you know why Core-Mark did not enter</p> <p>12 into the 2016 contract?</p> <p>13 MS. HEMERYCK: Same objection.</p> <p>14 BY THE WITNESS:</p> <p>15 A. I don't -- I can't speak on behalf of</p> <p>16 Core-Mark. I can tell you what they told us, but I</p> <p>17 can't speak on behalf of Core-Mark.</p> <p>18 BY MR. RAGAIN:</p> <p>19 Q. That's fine. What did they tell you?</p> <p>20 A. They told us they were not willing to</p> <p>21 sign that language, and they weren't -- they</p> <p>22 weren't able to sign it with that language, and</p> <p>23 that was not something that they were willing to</p> <p>24 enter into with us.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 19</p> <p>1 STATE OF ILLINOIS)</p> <p>2) SS:</p> <p>3 COUNTY OF C O O K)</p> <p>4 I, KRISTIN C. BRAJKOVICH, a Certified</p> <p>5 Shorthand Reporter of said state, do hereby</p> <p>6 certify:</p> <p>7 That previous to the commencement of the</p> <p>8 examination of the witness, the witness was duly</p> <p>9 sworn to testify the whole truth concerning the</p> <p>10 matters herein;</p> <p>11 That the foregoing deposition transcript</p> <p>12 was reported stenographically by me,</p> <p>13 was thereafter reduced to typewriting under my</p> <p>14 personal direction and constitutes a true record</p> <p>15 of the testimony given and the proceedings had;</p> <p>16 That the said deposition was taken</p> <p>17 before me at the time and place specified;</p> <p>18 That I am not a relative or employee</p> <p>19 or attorney or counsel, nor a relative or</p> <p>20 employee of such attorney or counsel for any of</p> <p>21 the parties hereto, nor interested directly or</p> <p>22 indirectly in the outcome of this action.</p> <p>23 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I do hereunto set my</p> <p>24 hand and affix my seal of office at Chicago,</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 18</p> <p>1 Q. So how about -- have you been operating</p> <p>2 without a contract then since that time with</p> <p>3 Core-Mark?</p> <p>4 A. We have been operating without the</p> <p>5 contracts, yes.</p> <p>6 MR. RAGAIN: That is all I have. Thank you.</p> <p>7 MS. HEMERYCK: Take just a quick break to make</p> <p>8 sure we are good.</p> <p>9 (WHEREUPON, there was a short</p> <p>10 interruption.)</p> <p>11 MS. HEMERYCK: No further questions.</p> <p>12 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This marks the end of</p> <p>13 today's deposition. The time is 9:20- --</p> <p>14 MR. RAGAIN: I'm sorry.</p> <p>15 MR. QUINN: We are going to go to another</p> <p>16 topic.</p> <p>17 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: I'm sorry?</p> <p>18 MR. QUINN: We have another witness.</p> <p>19 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: I have to close this one</p> <p>20 out. This marks the end of today's deposition.</p> <p>21 The time is 9:30 a.m. We are now off the record.</p> <p>22 THE REPORTER: Signature?</p> <p>23 MR. QUINN: Yes, we'll want to.</p> <p>24 FURTHER DEPONENT SAITH NOT.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 20</p> <p>1 Illinois, this 3rd day of April, 2018.</p> <p>2</p> <p>3</p> <p>4</p> <p>5 </p> <p>6</p> <p>7 C.S.R. Certificate No. 84-3810.</p> <p>8</p> <p>9</p> <p>10</p> <p>11</p> <p>12</p> <p>13</p> <p>14</p> <p>15</p> <p>16</p> <p>17</p> <p>18</p> <p>19</p> <p>20</p> <p>21</p> <p>22</p> <p>23</p> <p>24</p>

PEPSICO INC
NORTHERN BOTTLING vs PEPSICO

March 22, 2018
21-24

Page 21		Page 23	
1	I N D E X	1	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET
2	WITNESS EXAMINATION	2	
3	JIM DOYLE	3	Page No. _____ Line No. _____ Change To: _____
4	By Mr. Ragain 5, 15	4	Reason for Change: _____
5	By Ms. Hemeryck 14	5	Page No. _____ Line No. _____ Change To: _____
6		6	Reason for Change: _____
7		7	Page No. _____ Line No. _____ Change To: _____
8	E X H I B I T S	8	Reason for Change: _____
9	NUMBER PAGE	9	Page No. _____ Line No. _____ Change To: _____
10	NONE.	10	Reason for Change: _____
11		11	Page No. _____ Line No. _____ Change To: _____
12		12	Reason for Change: _____
13		13	Page No. _____ Line No. _____ Change To: _____
14		14	Reason for Change: _____
15		15	Page No. _____ Line No. _____ Change To: _____
16		16	Reason for Change: _____
17		17	Page No. _____ Line No. _____ Change To: _____
18		18	Reason for Change: _____
19		19	Page No. _____ Line No. _____ Change To: _____
20		20	Reason for Change: _____
21		21	Page No. _____ Line No. _____ Change To: _____
22		22	Reason for Change: _____
23		23	SIGNATURE: _____ DATE: _____
24		24	JIM DOYLE
Page 22		Page 24	
1	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET	1	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET
2		2	
3	Our Assignment No. J0595213	3	Page No. _____ Line No. _____ Change To: _____
4	Case Caption: Northern Bottling Co., Inc., vs.	4	Reason for Change: _____
5	PepsiCo, Inc.	5	Page No. _____ Line No. _____ Change To: _____
6		6	Reason for Change: _____
7	DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY	7	Page No. _____ Line No. _____ Change To: _____
8		8	Reason for Change: _____
9	I declare under penalty of perjury that	9	Page No. _____ Line No. _____ Change To: _____
10	I have read the entire transcript of my deposition	10	Reason for Change: _____
11	taken in the captioned matter or the same has been	11	Page No. _____ Line No. _____ Change To: _____
12	read to me, and the same is true and accurate, save	12	Reason for Change: _____
13	and except for changes and/or corrections, if any,	13	Page No. _____ Line No. _____ Change To: _____
14	as indicated by me on the DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET	14	Reason for Change: _____
15	hereof, with the understanding that I offer these	15	Page No. _____ Line No. _____ Change To: _____
16	changes as if still under oath.	16	Reason for Change: _____
17		17	Page No. _____ Line No. _____ Change To: _____
18	Signed on the _____ day of	18	Reason for Change: _____
19	_____, 20_____.	19	Page No. _____ Line No. _____ Change To: _____
20		20	Reason for Change: _____
21		21	Page No. _____ Line No. _____ Change To: _____
22		22	Reason for Change: _____
23	_____	23	SIGNATURE: _____ DATE: _____
24	JIM DOYLE	24	JIM DOYLE

PEPSICO INC
NORTHERN BOTTLING vs PEPSICO

March 22, 2018
22

DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET

Our Assignment No. J0595213

Case Caption: Northern Bottling Co., Inc., vs.
PepsiCo, Inc.

DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY

I declare under penalty of perjury that
I have read the entire transcript of my deposition
taken in the captioned matter or the same has been
read to me, and the same is true and accurate, save
and except for changes and/or corrections, if any,
as indicated by me on the DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET
hereof, with the understanding that I offer these
changes as if still under oath.

Signed on the 21st day of

May, 2018.



JIM DOYLE